



[OARD Home](#)

[Search Current Rules](#)

[Search Filings](#)

[Access the Oregon Bulletin](#)

[Access the Annual Compilation](#)

[Rules Coordinator / Rules
Writer Login](#)

Land Conservation and Development Department

Chapter 660

Division 23

PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLYING WITH GOAL 5

660-023-0000

Purpose and Intent

This division establishes procedures and criteria for inventorying and evaluating Goal 5 resources and for developing land use programs to conserve and protect significant Goal 5 resources. This division explains how local governments apply Goal 5 when conducting periodic review and when amending acknowledged comprehensive plans and land use regulations.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0010

Definitions

As used in this division, unless the context requires otherwise:

(1) "Conflicting use" is a land use, or other activity reasonably and customarily subject to land use regulations, that could adversely affect a significant Goal 5 resource (except as provided in OAR 660-023-0180(1)(b)). Local governments are not required to regard agricultural practices as conflicting uses.

(2) "ESEE consequences" are the positive and negative economic, social, environmental, and energy (ESEE) consequences that could result from a decision to allow, limit, or prohibit a conflicting use.

(3) "Impact area" is a geographic area within which conflicting uses could adversely affect a significant Goal 5 resource.

(4) "Inventory" is a survey, map, or description of one or more resource sites that is prepared by a local government, state or federal agency, private citizen, or other organization and that includes information about the resource values and features associated with such sites. As a verb, "inventory" means to collect, prepare, compile, or refine information about one or more resource sites. (See resource list.)

(5) "PAPA" is a "post-acknowledgment plan amendment." The term encompasses actions taken in accordance with ORS 197.610 through 197.625, including amendments to an acknowledged comprehensive plan or land use regulation and the adoption of any new plan or land use regulation. The term does not include periodic review actions taken in accordance with ORS 197.628 through 197.650.

(6) "Program" or "program to achieve the goal" is a plan or course of proceedings and action either to prohibit, limit, or allow uses that conflict with significant Goal 5 resources, adopted as part of the comprehensive plan and land use regulations (e.g., zoning standards, easements, cluster developments, preferential assessments, or acquisition of land or development rights).

(7) "Protect," when applied to an individual resource site, means to limit or prohibit uses that conflict with a significant resource site (except as provided in OAR 660-023-0140, 660-023-0180, and 660-023-0190). When applied to a resource category, "protect" means to develop a program consistent with this division.

(8) "Resource category" is any one of the cultural or natural resource groups listed in Goal 5.

(9) "Resource list" includes the description, maps, and other information about significant Goal 5 resource sites within a jurisdiction, adopted by a local government as a part of the comprehensive plan or as a land use regulation. A "plan inventory" adopted under OAR 660-016-0000(5)(c) shall be considered to be a resource list.

(10) "Resource site" or "site" is a particular area where resources are located. A site may consist of a parcel or lot or portion thereof or may include an area consisting of two or more contiguous lots or parcels.

(11) "Safe harbor" has the meaning given to it in OAR 660-023-0020(2).

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0020

Standard and Specific Rules and Safe Harbors

(1) The standard Goal 5 process, OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050, consists of procedures and requirements to guide local planning for all Goal 5 resource categories. This division also provides specific rules for each of the fifteen Goal 5 resource categories (see OAR 660-023-0090 through 660-023-0230). In some cases this division indicates that both the standard and the specific rules apply to Goal 5 decisions. In other cases, this division indicates that the specific rules supersede parts or all of the standard process rules (i.e., local governments must follow the specific rules rather than the standard Goal 5 process). In case of conflict, the resource-specific rules set forth in OAR 660-023-0090 through 660-023-0230 shall supersede the standard provisions in OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050.

(2) A "safe harbor" consists of an optional course of action that satisfies certain requirements under the standard process. Local governments may follow safe harbor requirements rather than addressing certain requirements in the standard Goal 5 process. For example, a jurisdiction may choose to identify "significant" riparian corridors using the safe harbor criteria under OAR 660-023-0090(5) rather than follow the general requirements for determining "significance" in the standard Goal 5 process under OAR 660-023-0030(4). Similarly, a jurisdiction may adopt a wetlands ordinance that meets the requirements of OAR 660-023-0100(4)(b) in lieu of following the ESEE decision process in OAR 660-023-0040.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0030

Inventory Process

(1) Inventories provide the information necessary to locate and evaluate resources and develop programs to protect such resources. The purpose of the inventory process is to compile or update a list of significant Goal 5 resources in a jurisdiction. This rule divides the inventory process into four steps. However, all four steps are not necessarily applicable, depending on the type of Goal 5 resource and the scope of a particular PAPA or periodic review work task. For example, when proceeding under a quasi-judicial PAPA for a particular site, the initial inventory step in section (2) of this rule is not applicable in that a local government may rely on information submitted by applicants and other participants in the local process. The inventory process may be followed for a single site, for sites in a particular geographical area, or for the entire jurisdiction or urban growth boundary (UGB), and a single inventory process may be followed for multiple resource categories that are being considered simultaneously. The standard Goal 5 inventory process consists of the following steps, which are set out in detail in sections (2) through (5) of this rule and further explained in sections (6) and (7) of this rule:

- (a) Collect information about Goal 5 resource sites;
- (b) Determine the adequacy of the information;
- (c) Determine the significance of resource sites; and
- (d) Adopt a list of significant resource sites.

(2) Collect information about Goal 5 resource sites: The inventory process begins with the collection of existing and available information, including inventories, surveys, and other applicable data about potential Goal 5 resource sites. If a PAPA or periodic review work task pertains to certain specified sites, the local government is not required to collect information regarding other resource sites in the jurisdiction. When collecting information about potential Goal 5 sites, local governments shall, at a minimum:

(a) Notify state and federal resource management agencies and request current resource information; and

(b) Consider other information submitted in the local process.

(3) Determine the adequacy of the information: In order to conduct the Goal 5 process, information about each potential site must be adequate. A local government may determine that the information about a site is inadequate to complete the Goal 5 process based on the criteria in this section. This determination shall be clearly indicated in the record of proceedings. The issue of adequacy may be raised by the department or objectors, but final determination is made by the commission or the Land Use Board of Appeals, as provided by law. When local governments determine that information about a site is inadequate, they shall not proceed with the Goal 5 process for such sites unless adequate information is obtained, and they shall not regulate land uses in order to protect such sites. The information about a particular Goal 5 resource site shall be deemed adequate if it provides the location, quality and quantity of the resource, as follows:

(a) Information about location shall include a description or map of the resource area for each site. The information must be sufficient to determine whether a resource exists on a particular site. However, a precise location of the resource for a particular site, such as would be required for building permits, is not necessary at this stage in the process.

(b) Information on quality shall indicate a resource site's value relative to other known examples of the same resource. While a regional comparison is recommended, a comparison with resource sites within the jurisdiction itself is sufficient unless there are no other local examples of the resource. Local governments shall consider any determinations about resource quality provided in available state or federal inventories.

(c) Information on quantity shall include an estimate of the relative abundance or scarcity of the resource.

(4) Determine the significance of resource sites: For sites where information is adequate, local governments shall determine whether the site is significant. This determination shall be adequate if based on the criteria in subsections (a) through (c) of this section, unless challenged by the department, objectors, or the commission based upon contradictory information. The determination of significance shall be based on:

(a) The quality, quantity, and location information;

(b) Supplemental or superseding significance criteria set out in OAR 660-023-0090 through 660-023-0230; and

(c) Any additional criteria adopted by the local government, provided these criteria do not conflict with the requirements of OAR 660-023-0090 through 660-023-0230.

(5) Adopt a list of significant resource sites: When a local government determines that a particular resource site is significant, the local government shall include the site on a list of significant Goal 5 resources adopted as a part of the comprehensive plan or as a land use regulation. Local governments shall complete the Goal 5 process for all sites included on the resource list except as provided in OAR 660-023-0200(2)(c) for historic resources, and OAR 660-023-0220(3) for open space acquisition areas.

(6) Local governments may determine that a particular resource site is not significant, provided they maintain a record of that determination. Local governments shall not proceed with the Goal 5 process for such sites and shall not regulate land uses in order to protect such sites under Goal 5.

(7) Local governments may adopt limited interim protection measures for those sites that are determined to be significant, provided:

(a) The measures are determined to be necessary because existing development regulations are inadequate to prevent irrevocable harm to the resources on the site during the time necessary to complete the ESEE process and adopt a permanent program to achieve Goal 5; and

(b) The measures shall remain effective only for 120 days from the date they are adopted, or until adoption of a program to achieve Goal 5, whichever occurs first.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 197.040

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDD 1-2017, f. & cert. ef. 2-10-17

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0040

ESEE Decision Process

(1) Local governments shall develop a program to achieve Goal 5 for all significant resource sites based on an analysis of the economic, social, environmental, and energy (ESEE) consequences that could result from a decision to allow, limit, or prohibit a conflicting use. This rule describes four steps to be followed in conducting an ESEE analysis, as set out in detail in sections (2) through (5) of this rule. Local governments are not required to follow these steps sequentially, and some

steps anticipate a return to a previous step. However, findings shall demonstrate that requirements under each of the steps have been met, regardless of the sequence followed by the local government. The ESEE analysis need not be lengthy or complex, but should enable reviewers to gain a clear understanding of the conflicts and the consequences to be expected. The steps in the standard ESEE process are as follows:

- (a) Identify conflicting uses;
- (b) Determine the impact area;
- (c) Analyze the ESEE consequences; and
- (d) Develop a program to achieve Goal 5.

(2) Identify conflicting uses. Local governments shall identify conflicting uses that exist, or could occur, with regard to significant Goal 5 resource sites. To identify these uses, local governments shall examine land uses allowed outright or conditionally within the zones applied to the resource site and in its impact area. Local governments are not required to consider allowed uses that would be unlikely to occur in the impact area because existing permanent uses occupy the site. The following shall also apply in the identification of conflicting uses:

(a) If no uses conflict with a significant resource site, acknowledged policies and land use regulations may be considered sufficient to protect the resource site. The determination that there are no conflicting uses must be based on the applicable zoning rather than ownership of the site. (Therefore, public ownership of a site does not by itself support a conclusion that there are no conflicting uses.)

(b) A local government may determine that one or more significant Goal 5 resource sites are conflicting uses with another significant resource site. The local government shall determine the level of protection for each significant site using the ESEE process and/or the requirements in OAR 660-023-0090 through 660-023-0230 (see 660-023-0020(1)).

(3) Determine the impact area. Local governments shall determine an impact area for each significant resource site. The impact area shall be drawn to include only the area in which allowed uses could adversely affect the identified resource. The impact area defines the geographic limits within which to conduct an ESEE analysis for the identified significant resource site.

(4) Analyze the ESEE consequences. Local governments shall analyze the ESEE consequences that could result from decisions to allow, limit, or prohibit a conflicting use. The analysis may address each of the identified conflicting uses, or it may address a group of similar conflicting uses. A local government may conduct a single analysis for two or more resource sites that are within the same area or that are similarly situated and subject to the same zoning. The local government may establish a matrix of commonly occurring conflicting uses and apply the matrix to particular resource sites in order to facilitate the analysis. A local government may conduct a single analysis for a site containing more than one significant Goal 5 resource. The ESEE analysis must consider any applicable statewide goal or acknowledged plan requirements, including the requirements of Goal 5. The analyses of the ESEE consequences shall be adopted either as part of the plan or as a land use regulation.

(5) Develop a program to achieve Goal 5. Local governments shall determine whether to allow, limit, or prohibit identified conflicting uses for significant resource sites. This decision shall be based upon and supported by the ESEE analysis. A decision to prohibit or limit conflicting uses protects a resource site. A decision to allow some or all conflicting uses for a particular site may also be consistent with Goal 5, provided it is supported by the ESEE analysis. One of the following determinations shall be reached with regard to conflicting uses for a significant resource site:

(a) A local government may decide that a significant resource site is of such importance compared to the conflicting uses, and the ESEE consequences of allowing the conflicting uses are so detrimental to the resource, that the conflicting uses should be prohibited.

(b) A local government may decide that both the resource site and the conflicting uses are important compared to each other, and, based on the ESEE analysis, the conflicting uses should be allowed in a limited way that protects the resource site to a desired extent.

(c) A local government may decide that the conflicting use should be allowed fully, notwithstanding the possible impacts on the resource site. The ESEE analysis must demonstrate that the conflicting use is of sufficient importance relative to the resource site, and must indicate why measures to protect the resource to some extent should not be provided, as per subsection (b) of this section.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

[660-023-0050](#)

Programs to Achieve Goal 5

(1) For each resource site, local governments shall adopt comprehensive plan provisions and land use regulations to implement the decisions made pursuant to OAR 660-023-0040(5). The plan shall describe the degree of protection intended for each significant resource site. The plan and implementing ordinances shall clearly identify those conflicting uses that are allowed and the specific standards or limitations that apply to the allowed uses. A program to achieve Goal 5 may include zoning measures that partially or fully allow conflicting uses (see OAR 660-023-0040(5)(b) and (c)).

(2) When a local government has decided to protect a resource site under OAR 660-023-0040(5)(b), implementing measures applied to conflicting uses on the resource site and within its impact area shall contain clear and objective standards. For purposes of this division, a standard shall be considered clear and objective if it meets any one of the following criteria:

(a) It is a fixed numerical standard, such as a height limitation of 35 feet or a setback of 50 feet;

(b) It is a nondiscretionary requirement, such as a requirement that grading not occur beneath the dripline of a protected tree; or

(c) It is a performance standard that describes the outcome to be achieved by the design, siting, construction, or operation of the conflicting use, and specifies the objective criteria to be used in evaluating outcome or performance. Different performance standards may be needed for different resource sites. If performance standards are adopted, the local government shall at the same time adopt a process for their application (such as a conditional use, or design review ordinance provision).

(3) In addition to the clear and objective regulations required by section (2) of this rule, except for aggregate resources, local governments may adopt an alternative approval process that includes land use regulations that are not clear and objective (such as a planned unit development ordinance with discretionary performance standards), provided such regulations:

(a) Specify that landowners have the choice of proceeding under either the clear and objective approval process or the alternative regulations; and

(b) Require a level of protection for the resource that meets or exceeds the intended level determined under OAR 660-023-0040(5) and 660-023-0050(1).

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0060

Notice and Land Owner Involvement

Local governments shall provide timely notice to landowners and opportunities for citizen involvement during the inventory and ESEE process. Notification and involvement of landowners, citizens, and public agencies should occur at the earliest possible opportunity whenever a Goal 5 task is undertaken in the periodic review or plan amendment process. A local government shall comply with its acknowledged citizen involvement program, with statewide goal requirements for citizen involvement and coordination, and with other applicable procedures in statutes, rules, or local ordinances.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0070

Buildable Lands Affected by Goal 5 Measures

(1) If measures to protect significant resource sites inside urban growth boundaries affect the inventory of buildable lands in acknowledged plans required by Goals 9, 10, and 14, a local government outside of the Metro UGB, and Metro inside the Metro UGB, prior to or at the next periodic review, shall:

(a) Amend its urban growth boundary to provide additional buildable lands sufficient to compensate for the loss of buildable lands caused by the application of Goal 5;

(b) Redesignate other land to replace identified land needs under Goals 9, 10, and 14 provided such action does not take the plan out of compliance with other statewide goals; or

(c) Adopt a combination of the actions described in subsections (a) and (b) of this section.

(2) If a local government redesignates land for higher density under subsections (1)(b) or (c) of this rule in order to meet

identified housing needs, the local government shall ensure that the redesignated land is in locations appropriate for the housing types, and is zoned at density ranges that are likely to be achieved by the housing market.

(3) Where applicable, the requirements of ORS 197.296 shall supersede the requirements of sections (1) and (2) of this rule.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0080

Metro Regional Resources

(1) For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Metro" is the Metropolitan Service District organized under ORS Chapter 268, and operating under the 1992 Metro Charter, for 24 cities and certain urban portions of Multnomah, Clackamas, and Washington counties.

(b) "Regional resource" is a site containing a significant Goal 5 resource, including but not limited to a riparian corridor, wetland, or open space area, which is identified as a regional resource on a map adopted by Metro ordinance.

(2) Local governments shall complete the Goal 5 process in this division for all regional resources prior to or during the first periodic review following Metro's adoption of a regional resources map, unless Metro adopts a regional functional plan by ordinance to establish a uniform time for all local governments to complete the Goal 5 process for particular regional resource sites.

(3) Metro may adopt one or more regional functional plans to address all applicable requirements of Goal 5 and this division for one or more resource categories and to provide time limits for local governments to implement the plan. Such functional plans shall be submitted for acknowledgment under the provisions of ORS 197.251 and 197.274. Upon acknowledgment of Metro's regional resource functional plan, local governments within Metro's jurisdiction shall apply the requirements of the functional plan for regional resources rather than the requirements of this division.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0090

Riparian Corridors

(1) For the purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Fish habitat" means those areas upon which fish depend in order to meet their requirements for spawning, rearing, food supply, and migration.

(b) "Riparian area" is the area adjacent to a river, lake, or stream, consisting of the area of transition from an aquatic ecosystem to a terrestrial ecosystem.

(c) "Riparian corridor" is a Goal 5 resource that includes the water areas, fish habitat, adjacent riparian areas, and wetlands within the riparian area boundary.

(d) "Riparian corridor boundary" is an imaginary line that is a certain distance upland from the top bank, for example, as specified in section (5) of this rule.

(e) "Stream" is a channel such as a river or creek that carries flowing surface water, including perennial streams and intermittent streams with defined channels, and excluding man-made irrigation and drainage channels.

(f) "Structure" is a building or other major improvement that is built, constructed, or installed, not including minor improvements, such as fences, utility poles, flagpoles, or irrigation system components, that are not customarily regulated through zoning ordinances.

(g) "Top of bank" shall have the same meaning as "bankfull stage" defined in OAR 141-085-0010(12).

(h) "Water area" is the area between the banks of a lake, pond, river, perennial or fish-bearing intermittent stream, excluding man-made farm ponds.

(2) Local governments shall amend acknowledged plans in order to inventory riparian corridors and provide programs to achieve Goal 5 prior to or at the first periodic review following the effective date of this rule, except as provided in OAR 660-023-0250(5).

(3) Local governments shall inventory and determine significant riparian corridors by following either the safe harbor methodology described in section (5) of this rule or the standard inventory process described in OAR 660-023-0030 as modified by the requirements in section (4) of this rule. The local government may divide the riparian corridor into a series of stream sections (or reaches) and regard these as individual resource sites.

(4) When following the standard inventory process in OAR 660-023-0030, local governments shall collect information regarding all water areas, fish habitat, riparian areas, and wetlands within riparian corridors. Local governments may postpone determination of the precise location of the riparian area on lands designated for farm or forest use until receipt of applications for local permits for uses that would conflict with these resources. Local governments are encouraged, but not required, to conduct field investigations to verify the location, quality, and quantity of resources within the riparian corridor. At a minimum, local governments shall consult the following sources, where available, in order to inventory riparian corridors along rivers, lakes, and streams within the jurisdiction:

- (a) Oregon Department of Forestry stream classification maps;
- (b) United States Geological Service (USGS) 7.5-minute quadrangle maps;
- (c) National Wetlands Inventory maps;
- (d) Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) maps indicating fish habitat;
- (e) Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood maps; and
- (f) Aerial photographs.

(5) As a safe harbor in order to address the requirements under OAR 660-023-0030, a local government may determine the boundaries of significant riparian corridors within its jurisdiction using a standard setback distance from all fish-bearing lakes and streams shown on the documents listed in subsections (a) through (f) of section (4) of this rule, as follows:

- (a) Along all streams with average annual stream flow greater than 1,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) the riparian corridor boundary shall be 75 feet upland from the top of each bank.
- (b) Along all lakes, and fish-bearing streams with average annual stream flow less than 1,000 cfs, the riparian corridor boundary shall be 50 feet from the top of bank.
- (c) Where the riparian corridor includes all or portions of a significant wetland as set out in OAR 660-023-0100, the standard distance to the riparian corridor boundary shall be measured from, and include, the upland edge of the wetland.
- (d) In areas where the top of each bank is not clearly defined, or where the predominant terrain consists of steep cliffs, local governments shall apply OAR 660-023-0030 rather than apply the safe harbor provisions of this section.
- (6) Local governments shall develop a program to achieve Goal 5 using either the safe harbor described in section (8) of this rule or the standard Goal 5 ESEE process in OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 as modified by section (7) of this rule.

(7) When following the standard ESEE process in OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050, a local government shall comply with Goal 5 if it identifies at least the following activities as conflicting uses in riparian corridors:

- (a) The permanent alteration of the riparian corridor by placement of structures or impervious surfaces, except for:
 - (A) Water-dependent or water-related uses; and
 - (B) Replacement of existing structures with structures in the same location that do not disturb additional riparian surface area.
- (b) Removal of vegetation in the riparian area, except:
 - (A) As necessary for restoration activities, such as replacement of vegetation with native riparian species;
 - (B) As necessary for the development of water-related or water-dependent uses; and
 - (C) On lands designated for agricultural or forest use outside UGBs.

(8) As a safe harbor in lieu of following the ESEE process requirements of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050, a local government may adopt an ordinance to protect a significant riparian corridor as follows:

- (a) The ordinance shall prevent permanent alteration of the riparian area by grading or by the placement of structures or impervious surfaces, except for the following uses, provided they are designed and constructed to minimize intrusion into the riparian area:
 - (A) Streets, roads, and paths;

(B) Drainage facilities, utilities, and irrigation pumps;

(C) Water-related and water-dependent uses; and

(D) Replacement of existing structures with structures in the same location that do not disturb additional riparian surface area.

(b) The ordinance shall contain provisions to control the removal of riparian vegetation, except that the ordinance shall allow:

(A) Removal of non-native vegetation and replacement with native plant species; and

(B) Removal of vegetation necessary for the development of water-related or water-dependent uses.

(c) Notwithstanding subsection (b) of this section, the ordinance need not regulate the removal of vegetation in areas zoned for farm or forest uses pursuant to statewide Goals 3 or 4;

(d) The ordinance shall include a procedure to consider hardship variances, claims of map error, and reduction or removal of the restrictions under subsections (a) and (b) of this section for any existing lot or parcel demonstrated to have been rendered not buildable by application of the ordinance; and

(e) The ordinance may authorize the permanent alteration of the riparian area by placement of structures or impervious surfaces within the riparian corridor boundary established under subsection (5)(a) of this rule upon a demonstration that equal or better protection for identified resources will be ensured through restoration of riparian areas, enhanced buffer treatment, or similar measures. In no case shall such alterations occupy more than 50 percent of the width of the riparian area measured from the upland edge of the corridor.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDD 3-2004, f. & cert. ef. 5-7-04

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0100

Wetlands

(1) For purposes of this rule, a "wetland" is an area that is inundated or saturated by surface water or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances does support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

(2) Local governments shall amend acknowledged plans and land use regulations prior to or at periodic review to address the requirements of this division, as set out in OAR 660-023-0250(5) through (7). The standard inventory process requirements in OAR 660-023-0030 do not apply to wetlands. Instead, local governments shall follow the requirements of section (3) of this rule in order to inventory and determine significant wetlands.

(3) For areas inside urban growth boundaries (UGBs) and urban unincorporated communities (UUCs), local governments shall:

(a) Conduct a local wetlands inventory (LWI) using the standards and procedures of OAR 141-086-0110 through 141-086-0240 and adopt the LWI as part of the comprehensive plan or as a land use regulation; and

(b) Determine which wetlands on the LWI are "significant wetlands" using the criteria adopted by the Division of State Lands (DSL) pursuant to ORS 197.279(3)(b) and adopt the list of significant wetlands as part of the comprehensive plan or as a land use regulation.

(4) For significant wetlands inside UGBs and UUCs, a local government shall:

(a) Complete the Goal 5 process and adopt a program to achieve the goal following the requirements of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050; or

(b) Adopt a safe harbor ordinance to protect significant wetlands consistent with this subsection, as follows:

(A) The protection ordinance shall place restrictions on grading, excavation, placement of fill, and vegetation removal other than perimeter mowing and other cutting necessary for hazard prevention; and

(B) The ordinance shall include a variance procedure to consider hardship variances, claims of map error verified by DSL, and reduction or removal of the restrictions under paragraph (A) of this subsection for any lands demonstrated to have been rendered not buildable by application of the ordinance.

(5) For areas outside UGBs and UUCs, local governments shall either adopt the statewide wetland inventory (SWI; see ORS 196.674) as part of the local comprehensive plan or as a land use regulation, or shall use a current version for the

purpose of section (7) of this rule.

(6) For areas outside UGBs and UUCs, local governments are not required to amend acknowledged plans and land use regulations in order to determine significant wetlands and complete the Goal 5 process. Local governments that choose to amend acknowledged plans for areas outside UGBs and UUCs in order to inventory and protect significant wetlands shall follow the requirements of sections (3) and (4) of this rule.

(7) All local governments shall adopt land use regulations that require notification of DSL concerning applications for development permits or other land use decisions affecting wetlands on the inventory, as per ORS 227.350 and 215.418, or on the SWI as provided in section (5) of this rule.

(8) All jurisdictions may inventory and protect wetlands under the procedures and requirements for wetland conservation plans adopted pursuant to ORS 196.668 et seq. A wetlands conservation plan approved by the director of DSL shall be deemed to comply with Goal 5 (ORS 197.279(1)).

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0110

Wildlife Habitat

(1) For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Documented" means that an area is shown on a map published or issued by a state or federal agency or by a professional with demonstrated expertise in habitat identification.

(b) "Wildlife habitat" is an area upon which wildlife depend in order to meet their requirements for food, water, shelter, and reproduction. Examples include wildlife migration corridors, big game winter range, and nesting and roosting sites.

(2) Local governments shall conduct the inventory process and determine significant wildlife habitat as set forth in OAR 660-023-0250(5) by following either the safe harbor methodology described in section (4) of this rule or the standard inventory process described in OAR 660-023-0030.

(3) When gathering information regarding wildlife habitat under the standard inventory process in OAR 660-023-0030(2), local governments shall obtain current habitat inventory information from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), and other state and federal agencies. These inventories shall include at least the following:

(a) Threatened, endangered, and sensitive wildlife species habitat information;

(b) Sensitive bird site inventories; and

(c) Wildlife species of concern and/or habitats of concern identified and mapped by ODFW (e.g., big game winter range and migration corridors, golden eagle and prairie falcon nest sites, and pigeon springs).

(4) Local governments may determine wildlife habitat significance under OAR 660-023-0040 or apply the safe harbor criteria in this section. Under the safe harbor, local governments may determine that "wildlife" does not include fish, and that significant wildlife habitat is only those sites where one or more of the following conditions exist:

(a) The habitat has been documented to perform a life support function for a wildlife species listed by the federal government as a threatened or endangered species or by the state of Oregon as a threatened, endangered, or sensitive species;

(b) The habitat has documented occurrences of more than incidental use by a species described in subsection (a) of this section;

(c) The habitat has been documented as a sensitive bird nesting, roosting, or watering resource site for osprey or great blue herons pursuant to ORS 527.710 (Oregon Forest Practices Act) and OAR 629-024-0700 (Forest Practices Rules);

(d) The habitat has been documented to be essential to achieving policies or population objectives specified in a wildlife species management plan adopted by the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission pursuant to ORS Chapter 496; or

(e) The area is identified and mapped by ODFW as habitat for a wildlife species of concern and/or as a habitat of concern (e.g., big game winter range and migration corridors, golden eagle and prairie falcon nest sites, or pigeon springs).

(5) For certain threatened or endangered species sites, publication of location information may increase the threat of habitat or species loss. Pursuant to ORS 192.501(13), local governments may limit publication, display, and availability of location information for such sites. Local governments may adopt inventory maps of these areas, with procedures to allow limited availability to property owners or other specified parties.

(6) As set out in OAR 660-023-0250(5), local governments shall develop programs to protect wildlife habitat following the standard procedures and requirements of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050. Local governments shall coordinate with appropriate state and federal agencies when adopting programs intended to protect threatened, endangered, or sensitive species habitat areas.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 297.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0115

Greater Sage-Grouse

(1) Introduction. Greater Sage-Grouse (hereafter “sage-grouse”) habitat is a unique wildlife resource subject to a variety of threats across a broad, multi-state region. Oregon’s sage-grouse habitat is comprised of a combination of public land managed by the federal government and nonfederal land generally in private ownership. Managing private and other nonfederal land for the best possible outcomes requires partnership and cooperation among many stakeholders. Accordingly, private and other nonfederal lands are strongly encouraged to participate in a Candidate Conservation Agreement with Assurances program. Voluntary conservation efforts of this nature are recognized by the State of Oregon as a critical part in recovering the breeding population targeted by Oregon’s Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Assessment and Strategy for Oregon. Beyond voluntary efforts it remains necessary to provide a regulatory framework that offers fairness, predictability and certainty for all involved parties. Engagement on the part of county government is critical to Oregon’s efforts to address possible impacts from future development.

(2) Exempt activities.

(a) Those activities that do not require governmental approval, including farm use as defined in ORS 215.203(2), are exempt from the provisions of this rule. State agency permits necessary to facilitate a farm use, including granting of new water right permits by the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD), are also exempt from the provisions of this rule.

(b) Any energy facility that submitted a preliminary application for site certificate pursuant to ORS 469.300 et seq. on or before the effective date of this rule is exempt from the provisions of this rule. Notwithstanding ORS 197.646(3), this rule shall not be directly applicable to any land use decision regarding that facility unless the applicant chooses otherwise. Similarly, any changes to a local government’s acknowledged comprehensive plan or land use ordinances developed to achieve consistency with this rule shall not constitute “applicable substantive criteria” pursuant to OAR 345-022-0030(3), unless they are in effect on the date the applicant submits a preliminary application for site certificate, unless the applicant chooses otherwise.

(c) Private and other nonfederal lands are strongly encouraged to participate in a Candidate Conservation Agreement with Assurances (CCAA) program. Voluntary conservation efforts of this nature are recognized by the State of Oregon as a critical part in recovering the breeding population targeted by the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Assessment and Strategy for Oregon. Uses identified in CCAA agreements are relieved from the provisions of this rule except that conflicting uses identified in section (7) will be subject to sections (9) to (11) in all instances regardless of enrollment status.

(3) Definitions. For purposes of this rule, the definitions in OAR 635-140-0002 and in the glossary of the “Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Assessment and Strategy for Oregon” adopted by the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission on April 22, 2011 (copies of the plan are available through the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW)) shall apply. In addition, the following definitions shall apply:

(a) “Areas of High Population Richness” means mapped areas of breeding and nesting habitat within core habitat that support the 75th percentile of breeding bird densities (i.e. the top 25 percent). Please see Exhibit A.

(b) “Candidate Conservation Agreement with Assurances” means a formal agreement between the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and one or more parties to address the conservation needs of proposed or candidate species, or species likely to become candidates, before they become listed as endangered or threatened. Landowners voluntarily commit to conservation actions that will help stabilize or restore the species with the goal that listing under the Federal Endangered Species Act will become unnecessary.

(c) “Core areas” means mapped sagebrush types or other habitats that support sage-grouse annual life history requirements that are encompassed by areas:

(A) Of very high, high, and moderate lek density strata;

(B) Where low lek density strata overlap local connectivity corridors; or

(C) Where winter habitat use polygons overlap with either low lek density strata, connectivity corridors, or occupied habitat. Core area maps are maintained by ODFW.

- (d) "Development action" means any human activity subject to regulation by local, state, or federal agencies that could result in the loss of significant sage-grouse habitat. Development actions may include but are not limited to, construction and operational activities of local, state, and federal agencies. Development actions also include subsequent repermitting of existing activities proposing new impacts beyond current conditions.
- (e) "Direct impact" means an adverse effect of a development action upon significant sage-grouse habitat which is proximal to the development action in time and place.
- (f) "Disturbance" includes natural threats to sage-grouse habitat such as: wildfire, juniper infestation and the spread of noxious weeds or human activities that can negatively affect sage-grouse use of habitat either through changing the vegetation type or condition, or displacement of sage-grouse use of an area. For purposes of this rule only disturbance from human activities are considered.
- (g) "General habitat" means occupied (seasonal or year-round) sage-grouse habitat outside core and low density habitats.
- (h) "Indirect impacts" means adverse effects to significant sage-grouse habitat that are caused by or will ultimately result from an affected development activity. Indirect impacts usually occur later in time or are removed in distance compared to direct effects.
- (i) "Large-scale development" means uses that are: over 50 feet in height; have a direct impact in excess of five acres; generate more than 50 vehicle trips per day; or create noise levels of at least 70 dB at zero meters for sustained periods of time. Uses that constitute large-scale development also require review by county decision makers and are listed in one of the following categories identified in the table attached to OAR 660-033-0120.
- (A) Commercial Uses.
- (B) Mineral, Aggregate, Oil and Gas Uses.
- (C) Transportation Uses.
- (D) Utility/Solid Waste Disposal Facilities.
- (E) Parks/Public/Quasi-Public.
- (j) "Lek" means an area where male sage-grouse display during the breeding season to attract females (also referred to as strutting-ground).
- (k) "Low density areas" means mapped sagebrush types or other habitats that support sage-grouse that are encompassed by areas where:
- (A) Low lek density strata overlapped with seasonal connectivity corridors;
- (B) Local corridors occur outside of all lek density strata;
- (C) Low lek density strata occur outside of connectivity corridors; or
- (D) Seasonal connectivity corridors occur outside of all lek density strata. Low density area maps are maintained by ODFW.
- (l) "Mitigation hierarchy" means an approach used by decision makers to consider development proposals and is ordinarily comprised of a three step process:
- (A) "Avoidance" is the first step in the mitigation hierarchy and is accomplished by not taking a certain development action or parts of that action.
- (B) "Minimization" is the second step in the mitigation hierarchy and is accomplished by limiting the degree or magnitude of the development action and its implementation.
- (C) "Compensatory mitigation" is the third step in the mitigation hierarchy and means the replacement or enhancement of the function of habitat capable of supporting sage-grouse in greater numbers than predicted to be impacted by a development.
- (m) "Occupied Lek" means a lek that has been regularly visited by ODFW and has had one or more male sage-grouse counted in one or more of the last seven years.
- (n) "Occupied Pending Lek" means a lek that has not been counted regularly by ODFW in the last seven years, but sage-grouse were present at ODFW's last visit.
- (o) "Priority Areas for Conservation" (PACs) means key habitats identified by state sage-grouse conservation plans or through other sage-grouse conservation efforts (e.g., BLM Planning). In Oregon, core area habitats are PACs.
- (4) Local program development and direct applicability of rule. Local governments may develop a program to achieve

consistency with this rule by following the standard process in OAR 660-023-0030, 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 and submitting the amendment to the commission in the manner provided for periodic review under ORS 197.628 to 197.650 and OAR 660-025-0175. Until the commission has acknowledged a county amendment to its comprehensive plan and land use regulations to be in compliance with Goal 5 and equivalent to this rule with regard to protecting sage-grouse habitat, sections (5) to (12) shall apply directly to county land use decisions affecting significant sage-grouse habitat. Once the commission has acknowledged a local government program under this section, that program becomes the controlling county land use document and sections (5) to (12) of this rule no longer apply directly.

(5) Quality, Quantity and Location. For purposes of this rule, sage-grouse habitat is only present in Baker, Crook, Deschutes, Harney, Lake, Malheur and Union Counties. The location of sage-grouse habitat within these counties shall be determined by following the map produced by ODFW included as Exhibit B.

(6) Determination of Significance. Significant sage-grouse habitat includes only lands protected under Statewide Planning Goals 3 or 4 as of July 1, 2015 that are identified as:

(a) Core areas;

(b) Low density areas; and

(c) Lands within a general habitat area located within 3.1 miles of an occupied or occupied-pending lek.

(d) The exact location of sage-grouse habitat may be refined during consideration of specific projects but must be done in consultation with ODFW.

(7) Conflicting uses. For purposes of protecting significant sage-grouse habitat, conflicting uses are:

(a) Large-scale development; and

(b) Other activities, which require review by county decision makers pursuant to OAR 660-033-0120 table and are proposed:

(A) In a core area within 4.0 miles of an occupied or occupied-pending lek;

(B) In a low density area within 3.1 miles of an occupied or occupied-pending lek; or

(C) In general habitat within 3.1 miles of an occupied or occupied-pending lek.

(8) Pre-Application Conference. A county should convene a pre-application conference prior to accepting an application for a conflicting use in significant sage-grouse habitat. The pre-application conference should include, at a minimum, the applicant, county planning staff and local ODFW staff.

(9) Program to achieve the goal of protecting significant sage grouse habitat in a core area.

(a) A county may consider a large-scale development in a core area upon applying disturbance thresholds and the mitigation hierarchy as follows:

(A) A county may consider a large-scale development that does not cause the one-percent metering threshold described in section (16) or the three-percent disturbance threshold described in section (17) to be exceeded.

(B) Avoidance. Before proceeding with large-scale development activity that impacts a core area, the proponent must demonstrate that reasonable alternatives have been considered and that the activity or other action cannot avoid impacts within core area habitat. If the proposed large-scale development can occur in another location that avoids both direct and indirect impacts within core area habitat, then the proposal must not be allowed unless it can satisfy the following criteria.

(i) It is not technically feasible to locate the proposed large-scale development outside of a core area based on accepted engineering practices, regulatory standards or some combination thereof. Costs associated with technical feasibility may be considered, but cost alone may not be the only consideration in determining that development must be located such that it will have direct or indirect impacts on significant sage-grouse areas; or

(ii) The proposed large-scale development is dependent on a unique geographic or other physical feature(s) that cannot be found on other lands; and

(iii) If either subparagraph (9)(a)(B)(i) or (9)(a)(B)(ii) is found to be satisfied the county must also find that the large-scale development will provide important economic opportunity, needed infrastructure, public safety benefits or public health benefits for local citizens or the entire region.

(C) Minimization. If the proposed use cannot be sited by avoiding a core area altogether, including direct and indirect impacts, it shall be located to minimize the amount of such habitat directly or indirectly disturbed, and to minimize fragmentation of the core area(s) in question by locating the development adjacent to existing development and at the edge of the core area when possible. Uses should minimize impacts through micro-siting, limitations on the timing of construction or use, or both, and methods of construction. Minimizing impacts from large-scale development in core

habitat shall also ensure direct and indirect impacts do not occur in known areas of high population richness within a given core area, unless a project proponent demonstrates, by a preponderance of the evidence, that such an approach is not feasible. Costs associated with minimization may be considered, but cost alone may not be the only consideration in determining that location of development cannot further minimize direct or indirect impacts to core areas.

(D) Compensatory Mitigation. To the extent that a proposed large-scale development will have direct or indirect impacts on a core area after application of the avoidance and minimization standards and criteria, above, the permit must be conditioned to fully offset the direct and indirect impacts of the development to any core area. The required compensatory mitigation must comply with OAR chapter 635, division 140.

(b) A county may approve a conflicting use as identified at subsection (7)(b) above upon either:

(A) Receiving confirmation from ODFW that the proposed conflicting use does not pose a threat to significant sage-grouse habitat or the way sage-grouse use that habitat; or

(B) Conditioning the approval based on ODFW recommendations, including minimization techniques and compensatory mitigation, if necessary, to resolve threats to significant sage-grouse habitat.

(10) Program to achieve the goal of protecting significant sage-grouse habitat in a low density area.

(a) A county may approve a large-scale development in a low density area upon applying the mitigation hierarchy as follows:

(A) Avoidance. Before proceeding with large-scale development activity that impacts a low density area, the proponent must demonstrate that reasonable alternatives have been considered and that the activity or other action cannot avoid impacts within a low density area. If the proposed large-scale development can occur in another location that avoids both direct and indirect impacts within a low density area, then the proposal must not be allowed unless it can satisfy the following criteria:

(i) It is not technically or financially feasible to locate the proposed large-scale development outside of a low density area based on accepted engineering practices, regulatory standards, proximity to necessary infrastructure or some combination thereof; or

(ii) The proposed large-scale development is dependent on geographic or other physical feature(s) found in low density habitat areas that are less common at other locations, or it is a linear use that must cross significant sage-grouse habitat in order to achieve a reasonably direct route.

(B) Minimization. If the proposed use cannot be sited by avoiding a low density area altogether, including direct and indirect impacts, it shall be located to minimize the amount of such habitat directly or indirectly disturbed, and to minimize fragmentation of the low density area(s) in question by locating the development adjacent to existing development and at the edge of the low density area when possible. Uses should minimize impacts through micro-siting, limitations on the timing of construction or use, or both, and methods of construction.

(C) Compensatory Mitigation. Required consistent with the provisions of paragraph (9)(a)(D) above.

(b) A county may approve a conflicting use as identified at subsection (7)(b) above when found to be consistent with the provisions of subsection (9)(b).

(11) Program to achieve the goal of protecting significant sage-grouse habitat on general habitat.

(a) A county may approve a large-scale development on significant sage-grouse habitat in general habitat upon requiring:

(A) General Habitat Consultation. Minimizing impacts from development actions in general habitat shall include consultation between the development proponent and ODFW that considers and results in recommendations on how to best locate, construct or operate the development action so as to avoid or minimize direct and indirect impacts on significant sage-grouse habitat within the area of general habitat. A county shall attach ODFW recommendations as a condition of approval; and

(B) Compensatory Mitigation. Required consistent with the provisions of paragraph (9)(a)(D) above.

(b) A county may approve a conflicting use identified in subsection (7)(b) above when found to be consistent with the provisions of subsection (9)(b).

(12) Especially Unique Local Economic Opportunity. A county may approve a large-scale development proposal that does not meet the avoidance test for significant sage-grouse habitat if the county determines that the overall public benefits of the proposal outweigh the damage to significant sage-grouse habitat. Requirements for minimization and compensatory mitigation continue to apply and attempts should be made to avoid areas of high population richness, if possible. The county shall make this balancing determination only when the proposal involves an economic opportunity that will provide a number of permanent, full-time jobs, not including construction activities, paying at least 150 percent of average county wages sufficient to increase the amount of total private nonfarm payroll employment by at least 0.5

percent over the figure included in the most recent data available from the Oregon Department of Employment rounded down to the nearest whole number. The applicant has the burden to show that the overall public benefits outweigh the damage to the significant sage-grouse habitat. This provision may be exercised by each effected county once during every ten-year period beginning on the effective date of this rule. A county is also free not to approve a proposal submitted under this section.

(13) A proposal to up-zone lands containing significant sage-grouse habitat to a greater development potential than otherwise allowed under Goals 3 and 4 shall follow the ordinary Goal 5 process at OAR 660-023-0030 to 660-023-0050. Furthermore, up-zoning lands in a core area shall be considered a direct impact and count towards the three percent disturbance threshold pursuant to section (17) below.

(14) Landscape-Level Consideration. The standards in sections (9), (10) and (11) above, are designed to minimize the amount of future impacts from human sources to significant sage-grouse habitat areas. Consistent with available science concerning the relation between human activities and sage-grouse population levels, the department will monitor direct impacts in core areas in each of the PACs shown in Exhibit (C).

(15) Central Registry. The department will work with the counties identified in section (5), ODFW, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and USFWS to maintain a central registry, tracking human disturbance from existing (baseline) and all new development affecting core areas. In addition to serving as partners in maintaining the central registry, counties must report all development land use permits for all uses within a core area to the department. The registry will include baseline calculations of direct impact levels consistent with the approach identified by the BLM. The percentage figures included in Exhibit D establish the baseline for human disturbance existing on the effective date of this rule. If better information becomes available, the commission may revise the baseline subject to a rule amendment that is coordinated with the counties identified in section (5) and other interested parties. Counties may establish more refined, project specific data to replace the baseline figures so long as all counties utilize a common methodology. Each year the department shall report to the commission the amount of new direct impacts in each PAC. The report shall be coordinated with and made available to all affected counties.

(16) Metering. This rule is intended to ensure that the area of direct impact levels in any PAC, including energy facilities exempted under subsection (2)(b), does not increase by an amount greater than 1.0 percent of the total area of the PAC in any ten-year period. The initial period shall commence upon the effective date of this rule and continue for ten consecutive years, where upon the process shall be successively repeated. The commission will consider revisions to this rule if the department's yearly reports required by section (15) indicate that the development trends in any PAC indicate that the 1.0 percent direct impact threshold is in jeopardy of being exceeded before the ten-year period has expired. Any proposal to amend this rule undertaken by the department shall be developed in coordination with all affected counties and other stakeholders.

(17) Disturbance Threshold. This rule is intended to ensure that direct impact level, including energy facilities exempted under subsection (2)(b), does not exceed three percent of the total area in any PAC. If this three-percent threshold is approached, then the department must report that situation to the commission along with a proposal to amend this rule to adapt the standards and criteria such that the threshold is not exceeded.

(18) State agency coordination programs. All state agencies that carry out or that permit conflicting uses in core area, low density area, or significant general habitat including but not limited to OWRD, Oregon Department of Transportation, Department of State Lands, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Oregon Department of Energy and the Energy Facility Siting Council, and Department of Environmental Quality must report the proposed development to the department, along with an estimate of the direct impact of the development. In addition, to the extent not regulated by a county, such development, other than the issuance of water rights, the expansion of cultivation, and other farm uses under ORS 215.203(2), must meet the requirements of paragraph (9)(a)(D) of this rule.

(19) Scheduled Review. The department shall commence a review of these rules no later than June 30, 2020 and, if determined to be necessary, recommend revisions to achieve the policy objectives found herein. Furthermore, should the species become listed under the Federal Endangered Species Act, the commission shall consider whether continued application of this rule is necessary. Should the rule remain applicable and the species is de-listed the commission shall consider whether continued application of this rule is necessary.

[ED. NOTE: To view tables referenced in rule text, [click here to view rule.](#)]

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 197.040

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040

History:

LCDD 6-2017, f. & cert. ef. 5-25-17

LCDD 4-2016, f. & cert. ef. 2-10-16

LCDD 5-2015, f. 8-6-15, cert. ef. 8-13-15

660-023-0120

Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers

(1) At each periodic review, local governments shall amend acknowledged plans and land use regulations to address any federal Wild and Scenic River (WSR) and associated corridor established by the federal government that is not addressed by the acknowledged plan. The standards and procedures of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050 apply to WSRs, except as provided in this rule.

(2) Local governments shall not inventory WSRs using the standard process under OAR 660-023-0030, except that local governments shall follow the requirements of 660-023-0030(5) by designating all WSRs as significant Goal 5 resources.

(3) A local government may delay completion of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 for a WSR until the federal government adopts a management plan for the WSR. Prior to the federal government adoption of a management plan, the local government shall notify the federal government of proposed development and changes of land use within the interim WSR corridor.

(4) Prior to or at the first periodic review following adoption of a management plan by the federal government for an established WSR, the local government shall adopt a program to protect the WSR and associated corridor by following the ESEE standards and procedures of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050. The impact area determined under OAR 660-023-0040(3) shall be the WSR corridor that is established by the federal government. Notwithstanding the provisions of OAR 660-023-0040(5), the local program shall be consistent with the federal management plan.

(5) For any lands in a designated WSR corridor that are also within the impact area of a designated Oregon Scenic Waterway, the local government may apply the requirements of OAR 660-023-0130 rather than the applicable requirements of this rule in order to develop a program to achieve Goal 5.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0130

Oregon Scenic Waterways

(1) At each periodic review, local governments shall amend acknowledged plans and land use regulations to address any Oregon Scenic Waterway (OSW) and associated corridor that is not addressed by the acknowledged plan. The standards and procedures of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050 apply to OSWs, except as provided in this rule.

(2) Local governments shall not inventory OSWs following all the steps of the standard inventory process under OAR 660-023-0030. Instead, local governments shall follow only the requirements of OAR 660-023-0030(5) by designating OSWs as significant Goal 5 resources.

(3) A local government may delay completion of the Goal 5 process (OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050) for an OSW until the Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission (OPRC) adopts a management plan for the OSW. Prior to the OPRC adoption of a management plan for the OSW, the local government shall:

(a) Notify the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) of proposed developments and changes of land use on land within the interim OSW corridor; and

(b) Inform landowners who apply to the local government for development approval or changes of land use within the OSW corridor of their notice obligations under ORS 390.845.

(4) Prior to or at the first periodic review following adoption of a management plan by the OPRC for an established OSW, the local government shall adopt a Goal 5 program for the OSW and associated corridor by following either the ESEE standards and procedures of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 or the safe harbor provisions in section (5) of this rule. The impact area determined under OAR 660-023-0040(3) shall be the scenic waterway and adjacent lands as set forth in ORS 390.805(2) and (3). Notwithstanding the provisions of OAR 660-023-0040(5), the local program for the OSW shall be consistent with the management plan adopted by OPRC.

(5) As a safe harbor, a local government may adopt only those plan and implementing ordinance provisions necessary to carry out the management plan adopted by OPRC rather than follow the ESEE standards and procedures of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0140

Groundwater Resources

(1) For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

- (a) "Delineation" is a determination that has been certified by the Oregon Health Division pursuant to OAR 333-061-0057, regarding the extent, orientation, and boundary of a wellhead protection area, considering such factors as geology, aquifer characteristics, well pumping rates, and time of travel.
- (b) "Groundwater" is any water, except capillary moisture, beneath the land surface or beneath the bed of any stream, lake, reservoir, or other body of surface water.
- (c) "Protect significant groundwater resources" means to adopt land use programs to help ensure that reliable groundwater is available to areas planned for development and to provide a reasonable level of certainty that the carrying capacity of groundwater resources will not be exceeded.
- (d) "Public water system" is a system supplying water for human consumption that has four or more service connections, or a system supplying water to a public or commercial establishment that operates a total of at least 60 days per year and that is used by 10 or more individuals per day.
- (e) "Wellhead protection area" is the surface and subsurface area surrounding a water well, spring, or wellfield, supplying a public water system, through which contaminants are reasonably likely to move toward and reach that water well, spring, or wellfield.

(2) Local governments shall amend acknowledged plans prior to or at each periodic review in order to inventory and protect significant groundwater resources under Goal 5 only as provided in sections (3) through (5) of this rule. Goal 5 does not apply to other groundwater areas, although other statewide Goals, especially Goals 2, 6, and 11, apply to land use decisions concerning such groundwater areas. Significant groundwater resources are limited to:

(a) Critical groundwater areas and restrictively classified areas designated by the Oregon Water Resources Commission (OWRC), as provided in ORS 340 and 536, subject to the requirements in section (3) of this rule applied in conjunction with the requirements of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050; and

(b) Wellhead protection areas, subject to the requirements in sections (4) and (5) of this rule instead of the requirements in OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050.

(3) Critical groundwater areas and restrictively classified areas are significant groundwater resources. Following designation by OWRC, and in coordination with the Oregon Water Resources Department (WRD), local plans shall declare such areas as significant groundwater resources as per OAR 660-023-0030(5). Following the requirements of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 and this rule, local governments shall develop programs to protect these significant groundwater resources.

(4) A local government or water provider may delineate a wellhead protection area for wells or wellfields that serve lands within its jurisdiction. For the delineation of wellhead protection areas, the standards and procedures in OAR chapter 333, division 61 (Oregon Health Division rules) shall apply rather than the standards and procedures of OAR 660-023-0030.

(5) A wellhead protection area is a significant groundwater resource only if the area has been so delineated and either:

- (a) The public water system served by the wellhead area has a service population greater than 10,000 or has more than 3,000 service connections and relies on groundwater from the wellhead area as the primary or secondary source of drinking water; or
- (b) The wellhead protection area is determined to be significant under criteria established by a local government, for the portion of the wellhead protection area within the jurisdiction of the local government.

(6) Local governments shall develop programs to resolve conflicts with wellhead protection areas described under section (5) of this rule. In order to resolve conflicts with wellhead protection areas, local governments shall adopt comprehensive plan provisions and land use regulations, consistent with all applicable statewide goals, that:

- (a) Reduce the risk of contamination of groundwater, following the standards and requirements of OAR chapter 340, division 40; and
- (b) Implement wellhead protection plans certified by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) under OAR 340-040-0180.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:197.225 - 197.245 & ORS 197.040

History:

LCDD 3-2004, f. & cert. ef. 5-7-04

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0150

Approved Oregon Recreation Trails

(1) For purposes of this rule, "recreation trail" means an Oregon Recreation Trail designated by rule adopted by the Oregon Parks and Recreation Commission (OPRC).

(2) Recreation trails are designated by OPRC in cooperation with local governments and private land owners. Local governments are not required to inventory recreation trails under OAR 660-023-0030. Instead, local governments shall designate all recreation trails designated by OPRC as significant Goal 5 resources. At each periodic review, local governments shall amend acknowledged plans to recognize any recreation trail designated by OPRC subsequent to acknowledgment or a previous periodic review.

(3) Local governments are not required to amend acknowledged plans or land use regulations in order to supplement OPRC protection of recreation trails. If a local government chooses to supplement OPRC protection, it shall follow the requirements of OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0160

Natural Areas

(1) For purposes of this rule, "natural areas" are areas listed in the Oregon State Register of Natural Heritage Resources.

(2) At periodic review, local governments shall consider information about natural areas not addressed at acknowledgment or in previous periodic reviews. Local governments shall inventory such areas as significant and develop a program to achieve the goal following the standard Goal 5 process in OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0170

Wilderness Areas

(1) For purposes of this rule, "wilderness areas" are those areas designated as wilderness by the federal government.

(2) Local governments are not required to inventory wilderness areas using the procedures of OAR 660-023-0030, except that local governments shall list all federally designated wilderness areas as significant Goal 5 resources as provided under OAR 660-023-0030(5).

(3) At periodic review, local governments shall amend acknowledged plans to recognize any wilderness areas designated after the last periodic review or acknowledgment.

(4) A local government need not complete the Goal 5 process in OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 for wilderness areas unless it chooses to provide additional protection for the wilderness area, such as the regulation of conflicting uses in an impact area adjacent to the wilderness area.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0180

Mineral and Aggregate Resources

(1) For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Aggregate resources" are naturally occurring concentrations of stone, rock, sand gravel, decomposed granite, limestone, pumice, cinders, and other naturally occurring solid materials commonly used in road building or other construction.

(b) "Conflicting use" is a use or activity that is subject to land use regulations and that would interfere with, or be adversely affected by, mining or processing activities at a significant mineral or aggregate resource site (as specified in subsection (5)(b) and section (7) of this rule).

(c) "Existing site" is an aggregate site that meets the requirements of subsection (3)(a) of this rule and was lawfully operating, or was included on an inventory of significant aggregate sites in an acknowledged plan, on September 1, 1996.

(d) "Expansion area" is an aggregate mining area contiguous to an existing site.

(e) "Farmland" means land planned and zoned for exclusive farm use pursuant to Goal 3 and OAR chapter 660, division 033.

(f) "Mineral resources" are those materials and substances described in ORS 517.750(7) but excluding materials and substances described as "aggregate resources" under subsection (a) of this section.

(g) "Minimize a conflict" means to reduce an identified conflict to a level that is no longer significant. For those types of conflicts addressed by local, state, or federal standards (such as the Department of Environmental Quality standards for noise and dust levels), to "minimize a conflict" means to ensure conformance to the applicable standard.

(h) "Mining" is the extraction and processing of mineral or aggregate resources, as defined in ORS 215.298(3) for farmland, and in ORS 517.750 for land other than farmland.

(i) "Mining area" is the area of a site within which mining is permitted or proposed, excluding undisturbed buffer areas or areas on a parcel where mining is not authorized.

(j) "Processing" means the activities described in ORS 517.750(10).

(k) "Protect" means to adopt land use regulations for a significant mineral or aggregate site in order to authorize mining of the site. For purposes of subsection (2)(d) of this rule, "protect" also means to limit or prohibit new conflicting uses within the impact area of the site.

(l) "Thickness of the aggregate layer" means the depth of the water-lain deposit of sand, stones, and pebbles of sand-sized fraction or larger, minus the depth of the topsoil and nonaggregate overburden.

(m) "Willamette Valley" means Clackamas, Columbia, Linn, Marion, Multnomah, Polk, Washington, and Yamhill counties and the portions of Lane and Benton Counties east of the summit of the Coast Range.

(2) Local governments are not required to amend acknowledged inventories or plans with regard to mineral and aggregate resources except in response to an application for a post acknowledgement plan amendment (PAPA) or at periodic review as specified in section (9) of this rule. The requirements of this rule modify, supplement, or supersede the requirements of the standard Goal 5 process in OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050, as follows:

(a) A local government may inventory mineral and aggregate resources throughout its jurisdiction, or in a portion of its jurisdiction. When a local government conducts an inventory of mineral and aggregate sites in all or a portion of its jurisdiction, it shall follow the requirements of OAR 660-023-0030 except as modified by subsection (b) of this section with respect to aggregate sites. When a local government is following the inventory process for a mineral or aggregate resource site under a PAPA, it shall follow the applicable requirements of OAR 660-023-0030, except where those requirements are expanded or superceded for aggregate resources as provided in subsections (b) through (d) of this section and sections (3), (4) and (8) of this rule;

(b) Local governments shall apply the criteria in section (3) or (4) of this rule, whichever is applicable, rather than OAR 660-023-0030(4), in determining whether an aggregate resource site is significant;

(c) Local governments shall follow the requirements of section (5) or (6) of this rule, whichever is applicable, in deciding whether to authorize the mining of a significant aggregate resource site, and OAR 660-023-0040 through 660-023-0050 in deciding whether to authorize mining of a significant mineral resource; and

(d) For significant mineral and aggregate sites where mining is allowed, except for aggregate sites that have been determined to be significant under section (4) of this rule, local governments shall decide on a program to protect the site from new off-site conflicting uses by following the standard ESEE process in OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 with regard to such uses.

(3) An aggregate resource site shall be considered significant if adequate information regarding the quantity, quality, and location of the resource demonstrates that the site meets any one of the criteria in subsections (a) through (c) of this section, except as provided in subsection (d) of this section:

(a) A representative set of samples of aggregate material in the deposit on the site meets applicable Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) specifications for base rock for air degradation, abrasion, and soundness, and the estimated amount of material is more than 2,000,000 tons in the Willamette Valley, or more than 500,000 tons outside the Willamette Valley;

(b) The material meets local government standards establishing a lower threshold for significance than subsection (a) of this section; or

- (c) The aggregate site was on an inventory of significant aggregate sites in an acknowledged plan on September 1, 1996.
- (d) Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b) of this section, except for an expansion area of an existing site if the operator of the existing site on March 1, 1996, had an enforceable property interest in the expansion area on that date, an aggregate site is not significant if the criteria in either paragraphs (A) or (B) of this subsection apply:
- (A) More than 35 percent of the proposed mining area consists of soil classified as Class I on Natural Resource and Conservation Service (NRCS) maps on June 11, 2004; or
- (B) More than 35 percent of the proposed mining area consists of soil classified as Class II, or of a combination of Class II and Class I or Unique soil, on NRCS maps available on June 11, 2004, unless the average thickness of the aggregate layer within the mining area exceeds:
- (i) 60 feet in Washington, Multnomah, Marion, Columbia, and Lane counties;
- (ii) 25 feet in Polk, Yamhill, and Clackamas counties; or
- (iii) 17 feet in Linn and Benton counties.
- (4) Notwithstanding section (3) of this rule, a local government may also determine that an aggregate resource site on farmland is significant if subsections (a) and (b) of this section apply or if subsection (c) of this section applies:
- (a) The quantity of material proposed to be mined from the site is estimated to be 2,000,000 tons of aggregate material or less for a site in the Willamette Valley, or 500,000 tons or less for a site outside the Willamette Valley; and
- (b) Not more than 35 percent of the proposed mining area consists of soil:
- (A) Classified as Class I on Natural Resource and Conservation Service (NRCS) maps available on June 11, 2004; or
- (B) Classified as Class II, or of a combination of Class II and Class I or Unique soil, on NRCS maps on June 11, 2004, unless the average thickness of the aggregate layer within the mining area exceeds the amounts specified in paragraph (B) of subsection (3)(d) of this rule.
- (c) A local land use permit that allows mining on the site was issued prior to April 3, 2003, and the permit is in effect at the time of the significance determination.
- (5) For significant mineral and aggregate sites, local governments shall decide whether mining is permitted. For a PAPA application involving an aggregate site determined to be significant under section (3) of this rule, the process for this decision is set out in subsections (a) through (g) of this section. A local government must complete the process within 180 days after receipt of a complete application that is consistent with section (8) of this rule, or by the earliest date after 180 days allowed by local charter.
- (a) The local government shall determine an impact area for the purpose of identifying conflicts with proposed mining and processing activities. The impact area shall be large enough to include uses listed in subsection (b) of this section and shall be limited to 1,500 feet from the boundaries of the mining area, except where factual information indicates significant potential conflicts beyond this distance. For a proposed expansion of an existing aggregate site, the impact area shall be measured from the perimeter of the proposed expansion area rather than the boundaries of the existing aggregate site and shall not include the existing aggregate site.
- (b) The local government shall determine existing or approved land uses within the impact area that will be adversely affected by proposed mining operations and shall specify the predicted conflicts. For purposes of this section, "approved land uses" are dwellings allowed by a residential zone on existing platted lots and other uses for which conditional or final approvals have been granted by the local government. For determination of conflicts from proposed mining of a significant aggregate site, the local government shall limit its consideration to the following:
- (A) Conflicts due to noise, dust, or other discharges with regard to those existing and approved uses and associated activities (e.g., houses and schools) that are sensitive to such discharges;
- (B) Potential conflicts to local roads used for access and egress to the mining site within one mile of the entrance to the mining site unless a greater distance is necessary in order to include the intersection with the nearest arterial identified in the local transportation plan. Conflicts shall be determined based on clear and objective standards regarding sight distances, road capacity, cross section elements, horizontal and vertical alignment, and similar items in the transportation plan and implementing ordinances. Such standards for trucks associated with the mining operation shall be equivalent to standards for other trucks of equivalent size, weight, and capacity that haul other materials;
- (C) Safety conflicts with existing public airports due to bird attractants, i.e., open water impoundments as specified under OAR chapter 660, division 013;
- (D) Conflicts with other Goal 5 resource sites within the impact area that are shown on an acknowledged list of significant resources and for which the requirements of Goal 5 have been completed at the time the PAPA is initiated;

(E) Conflicts with agricultural practices; and

(F) Other conflicts for which consideration is necessary in order to carry out ordinances that supersede Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) regulations pursuant to ORS 517.780.

(c) The local government shall determine reasonable and practicable measures that would minimize the conflicts identified under subsection (b) of this section. To determine whether proposed measures would minimize conflicts to agricultural practices, the requirements of ORS 215.296 shall be followed rather than the requirements of this section. If reasonable and practicable measures are identified to minimize all identified conflicts, mining shall be allowed at the site and subsection (d) of this section is not applicable. If identified conflicts cannot be minimized, subsection (d) of this section applies.

(d) The local government shall determine any significant conflicts identified under the requirements of subsection (c) of this section that cannot be minimized. Based on these conflicts only, local government shall determine the ESEE consequences of either allowing, limiting, or not allowing mining at the site. Local governments shall reach this decision by weighing these ESEE consequences, with consideration of the following:

(A) The degree of adverse effect on existing land uses within the impact area;

(B) Reasonable and practicable measures that could be taken to reduce the identified adverse effects; and

(C) The probable duration of the mining operation and the proposed post-mining use of the site.

(e) Where mining is allowed, the plan and implementing ordinances shall be amended to allow such mining. Any required measures to minimize conflicts, including special conditions and procedures regulating mining, shall be clear and objective. Additional land use review (e.g., site plan review), if required by the local government, shall not exceed the minimum review necessary to assure compliance with these requirements and shall not provide opportunities to deny mining for reasons unrelated to these requirements, or to attach additional approval requirements, except with regard to mining or processing activities:

(A) For which the PAPA application does not provide information sufficient to determine clear and objective measures to resolve identified conflicts;

(B) Not requested in the PAPA application; or

(C) For which a significant change to the type, location, or duration of the activity shown on the PAPA application is proposed by the operator.

(f) Where mining is allowed, the local government shall determine the post-mining use and provide for this use in the comprehensive plan and land use regulations. For significant aggregate sites on Class I, II and Unique farmland, local governments shall adopt plan and land use regulations to limit post-mining use to farm uses under ORS 215.203, uses listed under ORS 215.213(1) or 215.283(1), and fish and wildlife habitat uses, including wetland mitigation banking. Local governments shall coordinate with DOGAMI regarding the regulation and reclamation of mineral and aggregate sites, except where exempt under ORS 517.780.

(g) Local governments shall allow a currently approved aggregate processing operation at an existing site to process material from a new or expansion site without requiring a reauthorization of the existing processing operation unless limits on such processing were established at the time it was approved by the local government.

(6) For an aggregate site on farmland that is determined to be significant under section (4) of this rule, the requirements of section (5) of this rule are not applicable, except for subsection (5)(f), and the requirements of OAR 660-023-0040 though 660-023-0050 are not applicable. Instead, local governments shall decide whether mining is permitted by applying subsections (a) through (d) of this section:

(a) The proposed aggregate mine shall satisfy discretionary conditional use permit approval standards adopted by the local government pursuant to applicable requirements of ORS 215.213(2) or 215.283(2), and the requirements of ORS 215.296 and 215.402 through 215.416;

(b) The local government shall determine the post-mining use in accordance with subsection (5)(f) of this rule;

(c) The local government shall issue a permit for mining aggregate only for a site included on an inventory of significant aggregate sites in the comprehensive plan in accordance with ORS 215.298(2); and

(d) The conditional use permit shall not allow mining of more than the maximum amount of aggregate material specified under subsection (4)(a) of this rule.

(7) Except for aggregate resource sites determined to be significant under section (4) of this rule, local governments shall follow the standard ESEE process in OAR 660-023-0040 and 660-023-0050 to determine whether to allow, limit, or prevent new conflicting uses within the impact area of a significant mineral and aggregate site. (This requirement does not apply if, under section (5) of this rule, the local government decides that mining will not be authorized at the site.)

(8) In order to determine whether information in a PAPA submittal concerning an aggregate site is adequate, local government shall follow the requirements of this section rather than OAR 660-023-0030(3). An application for approval of an aggregate site following sections (4) and (6) of this rule shall be adequate if it provides sufficient information to determine whether the requirements in those sections are satisfied. An application for a PAPA concerning a significant aggregate site following sections (3) and (5) of this rule shall be adequate if it includes:

(a) Information regarding quantity, quality, and location sufficient to determine whether the standards and conditions in section (3) of this rule are satisfied;

(b) A conceptual site reclamation plan;

NOTE: Final approval of reclamation plans resides with DOGAMI rather than local governments, except as provided in ORS 517.780

(c) A traffic impact assessment within one mile of the entrance to the mining area pursuant to section (5)(b)(B) of this rule;

(d) Proposals to minimize any conflicts with existing uses preliminarily identified by the applicant within a 1,500 foot impact area; and

(e) A site plan indicating the location, hours of operation, and other pertinent information for all proposed mining and associated uses.

(9) Local governments shall amend the comprehensive plan and land use regulations to include procedures and requirements consistent with this rule for the consideration of PAPAs concerning aggregate resources. Until such local regulations are adopted, the procedures and requirements of this rule shall be directly applied to local government consideration of a PAPA concerning mining authorization, unless the local plan contains specific criteria regarding the consideration of a PAPA proposing to add a site to the list of significant aggregate sites, provided:

(a) Such regulations were acknowledged subsequent to 1989; and

(b) Such regulations shall be amended to conform to the requirements of this rule at the next scheduled periodic review after September 1, 1996, except as provided under OAR 660-023-0250(7).

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDD 5-2004, f. & cert. ef. 6-25-04

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0190

Energy Sources

(1) For purposes of this rule:

(a) "Energy source" includes naturally occurring locations, accumulations, or deposits of one or more of the following resources used for the generation of energy: natural gas, surface water (i.e., dam sites), geothermal, solar, and wind areas. Energy sources applied for or approved through the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC) or the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) shall be deemed significant energy sources for purposes of Goal 5.

(b) "Protect," for energy sources, means to adopt plan and land use regulations for a significant energy source that limit new conflicting uses within the impact area of the site and authorize the present or future development or use of the energy source at the site.

(2) In accordance with OAR 660-023-0250(5), local governments shall amend their acknowledged comprehensive plans to address energy sources using the standards and procedures in OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050. Where EFSC or FERC regulate a local site or an energy facility that relies on a site specific energy source, that source shall be considered a significant energy source under OAR 660-023-0030. Alternatively, local governments may adopt a program to evaluate conflicts and develop a protection program on a case-by-case basis, i.e., upon application to develop an individual energy source, as follows:

(a) For proposals involving energy sources under the jurisdiction of EFSC or FERC, the local government shall comply with Goal 5 by amending its comprehensive plan and land use regulations to implement the EFSC or FERC decision on the proposal as per ORS 469.504; and

(b) For proposals involving energy sources not under the jurisdiction of EFSC or FERC, the local government shall follow the standards and procedures of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050.

(3) Local governments shall coordinate planning activities for energy sources with the Oregon Department of Energy.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDD 3-2004, f. & cert. ef. 5-7-04

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0200

Historic Resources

(1) For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Demolition" means any act that destroys, removes, or relocates, in whole or part, a significant historic resource such that its historic, cultural, or architectural character and significance is lost. This definition applies directly to local land use decisions regarding a National Register Resource. This definition applies directly to other local land use decisions regarding a historic resource unless the local comprehensive plan or land use regulations contain a different definition.

(b) "Designation" is a decision by a local government to include a significant resource on the resource list.

(c) "Historic context statement" is an element of a comprehensive plan that describes the important broad patterns of historical development in a community and its region during a specified time period. It also identifies historic resources that are representative of the important broad patterns of historical development.

(d) "Historic preservation plan" is an element of a comprehensive plan that contains the local government's goals and policies for historic resource preservation and the processes for creating and amending the program to achieve the goal.

(e) "Historic resources" are those buildings, structures, objects, sites, or districts that potentially have a significant relationship to events or conditions of the human past.

(f) "Locally significant historic resource" means a building, structure, object, site, or district deemed by a local government to be a significant resource according to the requirements of this division and criteria in the comprehensive plan.

(g) "National Register Resource" means buildings, structures, objects, sites, or districts listed in the National Register of Historic Places pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (PL 89-665; 16 U.S.C. 470).

(h) "Owner":

(A) Means the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; or

(B) Means the purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or

(C) Means, if the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner; and

(D) Does not include individuals, partnerships, corporations or public agencies holding easements or less than fee interests (including leaseholds) of any nature; or

(E) Means, for a locally significant historic resource with multiple owners, including a district, a simple majority of owners as defined in (A)-(D).

(F) Means, for National Register Resources, the same as defined in 36 CFR 60.3(k).

(i) "Protect" means to require local government review of applications for demolition, relocation, or major exterior alteration of a historic resource, or to delay approval of, or deny, permits for these actions in order to provide opportunities for continued preservation.

(j) "Significant historic resource" means a locally significant historic resource or a National Register Resource.

(2) Relationship of Historic Resource Protection to the Standard Goal 5 Process.

(a) Local governments are not required to amend acknowledged plans or land use regulations in order to provide new or amended inventories, resource lists or programs regarding historic resources, except as specified in section (8). Local governments are encouraged to inventory and designate historic resources and must adopt historic preservation regulations to protect significant historic resources.

(b) The requirements of the standard Goal 5 process in OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050, in conjunction with the requirements of this rule, apply when local governments choose to amend acknowledged historic preservation plans and regulations.

(c) Local governments are not required to apply the ESEE process pursuant to OAR 660-023-0040 in order to determine

a program to protect historic resources.

(3) Comprehensive Plan Contents. Local comprehensive plans should foster and encourage the preservation, management, and enhancement of significant historic resources within the jurisdiction in a manner conforming with, but not limited by, the provisions of ORS 358.605. In developing local historic preservation programs, local governments should follow the recommendations in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation, produced by the National Park Service. Local governments should develop a local historic context statement and adopt a historic preservation plan and a historic preservation ordinance in conjunction with inventorying historic resources.

(4) Inventorying Historic Resources. When a local government chooses to inventory historic resources, it must do so pursuant to OAR 660-023-0030, this section, and sections (5) through (7). Local governments are encouraged to provide opportunities for community-wide participation as part of the inventory process. Local governments are encouraged to complete the inventory in a manner that satisfies the requirements for such studies published by the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office and provide the inventory to that office in a format compatible with the Oregon Historic Sites Database.

(5) Evaluating and Determining Significance. After a local government completes an inventory of historic resources, it should evaluate which resources on the inventory are significant pursuant to OAR 660-023-0030(4) and this section.

(a) The evaluation of significance should be based on the National Register Criteria for Evaluation, historic context statement and historic preservation plan. Criteria may include, but are not limited to, consideration of whether the resource has:

(A) Significant association with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local, regional, state, or national history;

(B) Significant association with the lives of persons significant to local, regional, state, or national history;

(C) Distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction;

(D) A high likelihood that, if preserved, would yield information important in prehistory or history; or

(E) Relevance within the local historic context and priorities described in the historic preservation plan.

(b) Local governments may delegate the determination of locally significant historic resources to a local planning commission or historic resources commission.

(6) Designating Locally Significant Historic Resources. After inventorying and evaluating the significance of historic resources, if a local government chooses to protect a historic resource, it must adopt or amend a resource list (i.e., "designate" such resources) pursuant to OAR 660-023-0030(5) and this section.

(a) The resource list must be adopted or amended as a land use decision.

(b) Local governments must allow owners of inventoried historic resources to refuse historic resource designation at any time during the designation process in subsection (a) and must not include a site on a resource list if the owner of the property objects to its designation on the public record. A local government is not required to remove a historic resource from an inventory because an owner refuses to consent to designation.

(7) Historic Resource Protection Ordinances. Local governments must adopt land use regulations to protect locally significant historic resources designated under section (6). This section replaces OAR 660-023-0050. Historic protection ordinances should be consistent with standards and guidelines recommended in the Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation published by the U.S. Secretary of the Interior, produced by the National Park Service.

(8) National Register Resources are significant historic resources. For these resources, local governments are not required to follow the process described in OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050 or sections (4) through (6). Instead, a local government:

(a) Must protect National Register Resources, regardless of whether the resources are designated in the local plan or land use regulations, by review of demolition or relocation that includes, at minimum, a public hearing process that results in approval, approval with conditions, or denial and considers the following factors: condition, historic integrity, age, historic significance, value to the community, economic consequences, design or construction rarity, and consistency with and consideration of other policy objectives in the acknowledged comprehensive plan. Local jurisdictions may exclude accessory structures and non-contributing resources within a National Register nomination;

(b) May apply additional protection measures. For a National Register Resource listed in the National Register of Historic Places after the effective date of this rule, additional protection measures may be applied only upon

considering, at a public hearing, the historic characteristics identified in the National Register nomination; the historic significance of the resource; the relationship to the historic context statement and historic preservation plan contained in the comprehensive plan, if they exist; the goals and policies in the comprehensive plan; and the effects of the additional protection measures on the ability of property owners to maintain and modify features of their property. Protection measures applied by a local government to a National Register resource listed before the effective date of this rule continue to apply until the local government amends or removes them; and

(c) Must amend its land use regulations to protect National Register Resources in conformity with subsections (a) and (b). Until such regulations are adopted, subsections (a) and (b) shall apply directly to National Register Resources.

(9) Removal of a historic resource from a resource list by a local government is a land use decision and is subject to this section.

(a) A local government must remove a property from the resource list if the designation was imposed on the property by the local government and the owner at the time of designation:

(A) Has retained ownership since the time of the designation, and

(B) Can demonstrate that the owner objected to the designation on the public record, or

(C) Was not provided an opportunity to object to the designation, and

(D) Requests that the local government remove the property from the resource list.

(b) Except as provided in subsection (a), a local government may only remove a resource from the resource list if the circumstances in paragraphs (A), (B), or (C) exist.

(A) The resource has lost the qualities for which it was originally recognized;

(B) Additional information shows that the resource no longer satisfies the criteria for recognition as a historic resource or did not satisfy the criteria for recognition as a historic resource at time of listing;

(C) The local building official declares that the resource poses a clear and immediate hazard to public safety and must be demolished to abate the unsafe condition.

(10) A local government shall not issue a permit for demolition or modification of a locally significant historic resource during the 120-day period following:

(a) The date of the property owner's refusal to consent to the historic resource designation, or

(b) The date of an application to demolish or modify the resource if the local government has not designated the locally significant resource under section (6).

(11) OAR 660-023-0200(1)(a) and (1)(h) are effective upon filing of the rule with the Secretary of State.

(12) OAR 660-023-0200(8) is effective upon filing of the rule with the Secretary of State and applies directly to local government permit decisions until the local government has amended its land use regulations as required by OAR 660-023-0200(8)(c).

(13) OAR 660-023-0200(9) is effective upon filing of the rule with the Secretary of State and applies directly to local government decisions until the local government has amended its land use regulations to conform with the rule.

(14) OAR 660-023-0200(10) is effective upon filing of the rule with the Secretary of State and applies directly to local government permit decisions.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 197.040

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040, 197.225 - 197.245 & 197.772

History:

[LCDD 3-2018, amend filed 02/23/2018, effective 02/23/2018](#)

LCDD 1-2017, f. & cert. ef. 2-10-17

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0220

Open Space

(1) For purposes of this rule, "open space" includes parks, forests, wildlife preserves, nature reservations or sanctuaries, and public or private golf courses.

(2) Local governments are not required to amend acknowledged comprehensive plans in order to identify new open space resources. If local governments decide to amend acknowledged plans in order to provide or amend open space inventories, the requirements of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050 shall apply, except as set forth in section

(3) of this rule.

(3) Local governments may adopt a list of significant open space resource sites as an open space acquisition program. Local governments are not required to apply the requirements of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050 to such sites unless land use regulations are adopted to protect such sites prior to acquisition.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0230

Scenic Views and Sites

(1) For purposes of this rule, "scenic views and sites" are lands that are valued for their aesthetic appearance.

(2) Local governments are not required to amend acknowledged comprehensive plans in order to identify scenic views and sites. If local governments decide to amend acknowledged plans in order to provide or amend inventories of scenic resources, the requirements of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050 shall apply.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0240

Relationship of Goal 5 to Other Goals

(1) The requirements of Goal 5 do not apply to the adoption of measures required by Goals 6 and 7. However, to the extent that such measures exceed the requirements of Goals 6 or 7 and affect a Goal 5 resource site, the local government shall follow all applicable steps of the Goal 5 process.

(2) The requirements of Goals 15, 16, 17, and 19 shall supersede requirements of this division for natural resources that are also subject to and regulated under one or more of those goals. However, local governments may rely on a Goal 5 inventory produced under OAR 660-023-0030 and other applicable inventory requirements of this division to satisfy the inventory requirements under Goal 17 for resource sites subject to Goal 17.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

660-023-0250

Applicability

(1) This division replaces OAR 660, division 16, except with regard to cultural resources, and certain PAPAs and periodic review work tasks described in sections (2) and (4) of this rule. Local governments shall follow the procedures and requirements of this division or OAR 660, division 16, whichever is applicable, in the adoption or amendment of all plan or land use regulations pertaining to Goal 5 resources. The requirements of Goal 5 do not apply to land use decisions made pursuant to acknowledged comprehensive plans and land use regulations.

(2) The requirements of this division are applicable to PAPAs initiated on or after September 1, 1996. OAR 660, division 16 applies to PAPAs initiated prior to September 1, 1996. For purposes of this section "initiated" means that the local government has deemed the PAPA application to be complete.

(3) Local governments are not required to apply Goal 5 in consideration of a PAPA unless the PAPA affects a Goal 5 resource. For purposes of this section, a PAPA would affect a Goal 5 resource only if:

- (a) The PAPA creates or amends a resource list or a portion of an acknowledged plan or land use regulation adopted in order to protect a significant Goal 5 resource or to address specific requirements of Goal 5;
 - (b) The PAPA allows new uses that could be conflicting uses with a particular significant Goal 5 resource site on an acknowledged resource list; or
 - (c) The PAPA amends an acknowledged UGB and factual information is submitted demonstrating that a resource site, or the impact areas of such a site, is included in the amended UGB area.
- (4) Consideration of a PAPA regarding a specific resource site, or regarding a specific provision of a Goal 5 implementing

measure, does not require a local government to revise acknowledged inventories or other implementing measures, for the resource site or for other Goal 5 sites, that are not affected by the PAPA, regardless of whether such inventories or provisions were acknowledged under this rule or under OAR 660, division 16.

(5) Local governments are required to amend acknowledged plan or land use regulations at periodic review to address Goal 5 and the requirements of this division only if one or more of the following conditions apply, unless exempted by the director under section (7) of this rule:

(a) The plan was acknowledged to comply with Goal 5 prior to the applicability of OAR 660, division 16, and has not subsequently been amended in order to comply with that division;

(b) The jurisdiction includes riparian corridors, wetlands, or wildlife habitat as provided under OAR 660-023-0090 through 660-023-0110, or aggregate resources as provided under OAR 660-023-0180; or

(c) New information is submitted at the time of periodic review concerning resource sites not addressed by the plan at the time of acknowledgement or in previous periodic reviews, except for historic, open space, or scenic resources.

(6) If a local government undertakes a Goal 5 periodic review task that concerns specific resource sites or specific Goal 5 plan or implementing measures, this action shall not by itself require a local government to conduct a new inventory of the affected Goal 5 resource category, or revise acknowledged plans or implementing measures for resource categories or sites that are not affected by the work task.

(7) The director may exempt a local government from a work task for a resource category required under section (5) of this rule. The director shall consider the following factors in this decision:

(a) Whether the plan and implementing ordinances for the resource category substantially comply with the requirements of this division; and

(b) The resources of the local government or state agencies available for periodic review, as set forth in ORS 197.633(3)(g).

(8) Local governments shall apply the requirements of this division to work tasks in periodic review work programs approved or amended under ORS 197.633(3)(g) after September 1, 1996. Local governments shall apply OAR 660, division 16, to work tasks in periodic review work programs approved before September 1, 1996, unless the local government chooses to apply this division to one or more resource categories, and provided:

(a) The same division is applied to all work tasks concerning any particular resource category;

(b) All the participating local governments agree to apply this division for work tasks under the jurisdiction of more than one local government; and

(c) The local government provides written notice to the department. If application of this division will extend the time necessary to complete a work task, the director or the commission may consider extending the time for completing the work task as provided in OAR 660-025-0170.

Statutory/Other Authority:ORS 183 & 197

Statutes/Other Implemented:ORS 197.040 & 197.225 - 197.245

History:

LCDC 2-1996, f. 8-30-96, cert. ef. 9-1-96

v1.7.4

[System Requirements](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Accessibility Policy](#) [Oregon Veterans](#) [Oregon.gov](#)

Oregon State Archives • 800 Summer Street NE • Salem, OR 97310

Phone: 503-373-0701 • Fax: 503-378-4118 • reference.archives@state.or.us